

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bot. 1450 Alexandria, Virginita 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,966	06/20/2002	Stephen Richard Hellaby	0290-0180P	2811
2292 7	7590 02/18/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			BECKER, DREW E	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			D. TT. 144 IV CD. 00/10/000	_

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summers	10/030,966	HELLABY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Drew E Becker	1761
<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication app</li> <li>Period for Reply</li> </ul>	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 December 2a)</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32,37 and 41-49 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32,37 and 41-49 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject.	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the original than the correction of the original than the correction of the original than the original th	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/030,966 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-26, 31-32, and 41-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 1 recites "excluding sugar-in-oil suspensions and nut pastes and nut butters". It is not clear whether these components are the composition itself, or the carrier liquid.
- 4. Claim 5 recite phrases such as "(other than... nut spread)" and (such as...)". It is not clear whether these limitations are required or not.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-29, 31-32, 37, and 41-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shuford et al [Pat. No. 4,375,483].

Shuford et al teach a composition comprising flavor particulates suspended in oil (abstract), the particulates having a size of 1-10 micrometers (column 4, line 59), lecithin (column 5, lines 36-56), free-flow agents such as silicas (column 5, lines 58-68) and tricalcium phosphate (column 4, line 44), emulsifiers (column 7, line 34), the composition inherently possessing a viscosity of 50-100 mPa/s, at least 95% adhesion. and a solids content of about 30%, the oil being liquid at room temperature, an absence of nuts, the use of any oil including rapeseed (column 2, line 44; column 3, line 35), and use as baking improvement agent and on cookies (column 8, lines 11-22). Phrases such as "using a low shear high impact milling method" are merely preferred methods of making the claimed product.

Page 3

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 30 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shuford et al as applied above, in view of Huffman [Pat. No. 4,612,204]. Shuford et al teach the above mentioned components. Shuford et al do not recite ascorbic acid. Huffman teaches a composition comprising ascorbic acid (column 4, line 39). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the ascorbic acid of Huffman into the invention of Shuford et al since both are directed to

Art Unit: 1761

food compositions, since Shuford et al already included optional ingredients such as flavors (column 7, lines 30-68), and since the ascorbic acid of Huffman would have provided added flavor while also being a good source of vitamin C.

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed December 13, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Shuford et al teach away from adhesion of greater than 85%. However, applicant does not provide any evidence to back up this assertion. Furthermore, applicant also claims the use of "free-flow enhancing agents" in all of the independent claims.

#### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1761

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Drew E Becker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761